Application of WOA-Based LSSVM Model for Wind Speed Prediction in Mianyang, China

Yiqi Yang [a*], Longfeng Zhang [a] and Xin He [a]

[a] School of Mathematics and Science, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, China.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author YY designed the study protocol, solved the model used in the study, and finally wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Author LZ completed the literature research and data analysis tasks and revised the first draft of the manuscript. Author XH mainly completed the background research and feasibility analysis. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

In recent years, countries have vigorously developed renewable energy resources to alleviate energy shortages and improve the environment. Wind energy, as a clean and renewable new energy source, has been increasing its power generation capacity, but the wind power generation itself has the characteristics of volatility and instability which makes wind power generation more difficult. Therefore, a novel prediction model based on the least squares support vector machine (LSSVM) with whale optimization algorithm (WOA) is proposed in the paper to improve the prediction accuracy and applied to the wind speed prediction in Mianyang. The model is implemented in python language, and then the prediction results are then evaluated quantitatively using the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), and the results of the proposed model are compared with models such as eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost), Support Vector Regression (SVR) and Random Forest (RF). Further, the MAPE of the prediction results of the proposed model

_____

Corresponding author: E-mail: yiqiyang716@gmail.com;

is about 4.7%-5.5%, which can be 6.3% higher than other models at best. The results show that the proposed prediction model can have good prediction accuracy and generalization performance and can be applied to other fields in the future.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

In recent years, with the economic growth of many countries and the accelerated pace of urbanization and industrialization, fossil fuels have been unable to meet the huge consumption. Wind energy, as a clean and renewable energy source with large content and no pollution, can meet the needs of sustainable human development. The vigorous development of wind power can reduce the consumption of thermal power, coal power, and other disposable energy and the emission of polluting gases. Thus, it can effectively alleviate the increasingly serious environmental problems and rationalize the structure of power resources. 2022 In August, the eastern part of Sichuan Province, known as the "province of a thousand rivers", experienced power shortages due to extreme heat. Many places experience water shortages during the flood season. In the difficult situation of increased demand for electricity, the government calls on companies to "provide electricity to the residents". In this case, wind power may become a more critical way to supply electricity.

According to the "China Wind Power Development Roadmap 2050" plan, installed wind power capacity will reach 200 million, 400 million and 1 billion kilowatts by 2020, 2030 and 2050, respectively. Although there has been an increasing amount of research on wind power related issues at home and abroad in recent years, the instability of wind speed makes wind power prediction still a challenging problem. Accurate prediction results can effectively improve the utilization of wind energy resources and reduce the impact of wind power fluctuations on grid stability, thus maximizing the economy of wind farms and achieving efficient and stable operation. Therefore, it is crucial to improve the accuracy of wind speed prediction, analysis, and wind speed information mining.

1.2 Related Work

The most commonly used methods for forecasting wind speed are physical methods, statistical methods, artificial intelligence-based methods, and hybrid model methods. Physical methods can be divided into numerical weather prediction (NWP) 1-3 and spatial correlation methods. NWP is currently used for weather forecasting, but it requires consideration of many other factors, including topography, temperature, etc., so the forecasting process is more complicated. The physical method has a relatively complex computing process, requires considerable running time and computational resources, has relatively high operating costs, and tends to be more suitable for long-term wind speed forecasting.

Statistical methods are based on the premise that the statistical patterns of future weather evolution should be the same as those in the sample window. They rely on many historical statistics and require high data integrity, so they are generally used for short-term wind speed forecasting. Commonly used statistical methods include the Kalman filter, Auto Regressive (AR) mode 4, Autoregressive Moving Average (ARMA) mode, Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) 5. Hui Liu et al. proposed an improved recursive autoregressive integrated moving average model (RARIMA) 6 and applied the model to short-term wind speed prediction of Qinghai-Tibet Railway, and their prediction results were satisfactory in terms of accuracy and time performance.

With the development of artificial intelligence, support vector machine (SVM) 78, artificial neural network (ANN) 9, and tree mode 1011 were applied to wind speed prediction. J Zhou et al. first systematically investigated the fine-tuning of LS-SVM model parameters for single-step ahead wind speed prediction in 2011 12. In recent years, hybrid models 13-19 have been widely used in several fields and have shown excellent performance.

Based on the results of the literature review, it can be seen that machine learning and deep learning models are widely used in the field of wind speed forecasting. Most scholars currently use neural networks and support vector machines for wind speed prediction, but because

the wind speed is highly stochastic and influenced by many factors with strong nonlinear relationships, the prediction accuracy of neural network models is low and slow in short-term prediction. Therefore, in this study, we propose a hybrid model based on LSSVM and WOA, which combines the advantages of the model and algorithm and uses a multi-step prediction strategy to improve the prediction accuracy of the model and effectively improve the prediction performance and generalization ability.

1.3 Motivation and Article Structure

Wind power output and wind speed are related, both of them have strong random and non-smooth characteristics and are difficult to predict. Accurate wind speed prediction can optimize the grid dispatch, reduce the rotating reserve flow, save resources, and ensure the safe, stable, and economical operation of the grid. Therefore, providing data to the relevant authorities through multivariate prediction of short-term wind speeds is important to make reasonable decisions to ensure maximum benefits.

Therefore, in our study, we will analyze and predict the wind speed of Mianyang. To avoid the limitations of a single model, a hybrid prediction model is used in the paper, and a multi-step prediction model based on the least squares support vector machine, whale optimization algorithm, and NAR is proposed and applied to the wind speed prediction of Mianyang. The remainder of this paper is as follows: Section 2 focuses on the principles of the main models in this paper, Section 3 shows the experimental design process of this paper, the prediction results, and the analysis, and Section 4 summarizes the whole paper and gives the main conclusions.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Least Squares Support Vector Machine (LSSVM)

LSSVM is a machine learning algorithm proposed by Suykens et al. 20. LSSVM, as an improved support vector machine based on a statistical theory with an advanced and complete theoretical system, can simplify the solution of quadratic optimization problems by transforming them into the solution of a system of linear equations. It has been successfully applied to many fields, including data regression, pattern recognition, time series prediction, etc.

For a given training data (x_i, y_i), where $x_i = (x_i^1, x_i^2, \cdots x_i^d)^T$ is the d-dimensional input vector, y_i is the corresponding output data, and N is the total number of training data. To map the input space to the feature space, a nonlinear function $\phi(x_i)$ is used, and the nonlinear function estimation modeling takes the following form:

$$f(x) = b + \langle \phi(x), w \rangle \qquad (1)$$

where w is the weight vector, b is the bias term and the symbol $\langle \square \rangle$ refers to the inner product operation.

Based on the structured risk minimization principle, the evaluation problem is described as an optimization problem:

$$\min J(w, e) = \min(1/2 \|w\|^2 + 1/2 \gamma \sum_{i=1} e_i^2)$$

$$s.t. \quad y_i = \langle w, \phi(x_i) \rangle + b + e_i \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \qquad (2)$$

$$\gamma > 0$$

γ is the regularization parameter used to determine the trade-off between model complexity and accuracy, and e_i represents the regression error between the actual and predicted values of the output.

To solve the above optimization problem, the corresponding Lagrangian functions are constructed:

$$L_{LSSVM} = 1/2 \|w\|^2 + 1/2 \gamma \sum_{i=1} e_i^2 - \sum_{i=1} \alpha_i \left\{ \langle w, \phi(x_i) \rangle + b + e_i - y_i \right\} \qquad (3)$$

α_i is the Lagrangian multiplier.

By setting the derivatives of w, b, e_i, α_i to zero, the conditions for the optimal solution of the problem can be obtained. By eliminating w and e_i, the four linear problems can be simplified to:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & E^T \\ E & \Omega + 1/\gamma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y \end{bmatrix} \tag{4}$$

where $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1, \cdots, y_N \end{bmatrix}^T$, $\alpha = [\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_N]^T$, $E = \begin{bmatrix} 1, \cdots, 1 \end{bmatrix}^T$, and Ω are symmetric matrices of $N \times N$ kernel function:

$$\Omega_{ij} = K(x_i, x_j) = \phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_j) \quad i, j = 1, 2, ..., N \tag{5}$$

where $K(x_i, x_j)$ is the kernel function, which satisfies Meser's condition. The kernel function has the ability to reduce the computational complexity of high-dimensional spaces and plays an important role in constructing high-performance least-squares support vector machines.

Then the LSSVM model can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= \langle w, \phi(x_i) \rangle + b \\ &= \sum_{i=1} \alpha_i \phi(x_i) \cdot \phi(x_i) + b \\ &= \sum_{i=1} \alpha_i K(x_i, x) + b \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The radial basis function (RBF) kernel is a widely adopted kernel function as follows:

$$K(x_i, x_j) = \exp(-\left\| x_i - x_j \right\|^2 / 2\sigma^2), \sigma > 0 \tag{7}$$

σ is the bandwidth of the kernel function. Two of the hyperparameters, γ as well as σ, are parameters that have a significant impact on the performance of the LSSVM model and need to be carefully determined.

2.2 Whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA)

Hyperparameter optimization is one of the most critical problems in the field of machine learning, and the prediction performance of a model depends heavily on the choice of hyperparameters. In most cases, researchers tune hyperparameters based on their own experience, but this approach is highly subjective

and may not yield satisfactory results. Therefore, introducing an intelligent optimization algorithm to automatically find the optimal hyperparameters of the model and return the optimal model can effectively improve the prediction accuracy of the model.

The whale Optimization Algorithm (WOA) is a metaheuristic optimization algorithm that simulates the hunting behavior of humpback whales. The main difference in the current work compared to other swarm optimization algorithms is the use of random or optimal search agents to simulate hunting behavior and the use of spirals to simulate the bubble net attack mechanism of humpback whales. The algorithm has the advantages of a simple mechanism, few parameters, and strong search ability, and has been widely used in economic scheduling, optimal control, photovoltaic systems, and image segmentation. The humpback whale optimization algorithm mainly consists of the following steps:

Step 1: Initialize the data

The formula for initializing the whale population location is as follows:

$$X_i = lb + rand \times (ub - lb) \tag{8}$$

where X_i is the location of individual i, lb and ub are the lower and upper bounds of the search space, and $rand()$ is a random number between 0 and 1.

Step 2: Prey encirclement

Calculate the fitness of each whale, find the current optimal whale position, and keep it. After the target prey location is defined, other whales will make attempts to encircle the target prey location. The formula for this process is as follows:

$$X(t+1) = X^*(t) - A \times D \tag{9}$$

$$\begin{cases} A = 2 \times a \times \text{rand}() - a \\ D = |C \times X^*(t) - X(t)| \\ C = 2 \times \text{rand}() \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

where t is the current number of iterations, A and C are the coefficients, X is the current solution position, X^* is the position of the current optimal solution, and a gradually decreases from 2 to 0 during the iterations.

Fig. 1. Multi-step ahead forecasting

Step 3: Predation phase

Firstly, we calculate the distance between to and then use the spiral update formula to simulate the spiral advance of the whale.

$$X(t+1) = X^*(t) - A \times D \quad ,if \ rand < 0.5$$

$$= D^* \times e^{bl} \times \cos(2\pi l) + X^*(t) \quad ,\text{other}$$
(11)

The WOA algorithm first initializes a random set of solutions, and in each iteration, the search agents update their positions according to the randomly selected search agent or the optimal solution obtained so far. The a parameter is reduced from 2 to 0 with the number of iterations, thus moving gradually from exploration to exploitation. The random search agent is selected when $|A| > 1$ and the optimal solution is selected to update the search agent positions when $|A| < 1$. Depending on the value of p, the WOA can switch between spiral and circular motions. Finally, the WOA algorithm is terminated by satisfying the termination criterion.

2.3 Multi-Step Ahead Forecasting Strategy

Since one-step ahead forecasting can only predict the next step of data, while multi-step ahead forecasting can predict the future period based on historical data, it can provide more useful information for the observer and is more conducive to observing future trends and making corresponding decisions.

The multi-step ahead forecasting method is a step-by-step prediction of future time series values based on the historical values of the time series to reduce errors and improve prediction accuracy. On the test set, the sliding window method is used to predict the next value by the previous τ values (Fig. 1).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Data Description

The wind speed data of Mianyang used in this study were obtained from the website of WheatA[1]. This paper uses the monthly average wind speed data of the Mianyang city for the past 20 years. Firstly, the correlation trend plot and the autocorrelation plot of the data were plotted based on the original data, and it can be seen from Fig. 2 that the data set has strong volatility and certain periodicity.

The original data were then subjected to data reconstruction and the data were divided into datasets according to 8:1:1. In addition, we made calculations and statistics on the relevant properties of the datasets, and the following table shows some of the statistical characteristics of the two different datasets:

3.2 Evaluation Metrics

To quantitatively evaluate the prediction performance of the models, the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) is introduced in this study to evaluate the prediction performance of the models. In addition, to highlight the enhancement effect of the proposed hybrid model, we also compare the results of XGBoost, categorical boosting (CAT), Multilayer Perceptron (MLP), RF, SVR, and Long short term memory (LSTM) models with those of the WOA-based LSSVM model for analysis.

3.3 Forecasting Result

In this subsection, we will show the prediction results of the WOA-based LSSVM model (WOA-LSSVM) and compare them for analysis and

---

[1] *WheatA: http://www.wheata.cn/*

discussion. In this study, we use a multi-step prediction strategy to model and optimize the model based on the data of the past 20 years, and then predict the monthly mean wind speed for the next five months, and the results of each step of the prediction indicate the prediction of the monthly mean wind speed value for the next month.

The prediction results of all models are shown in Table 2, and it can be seen from the table that WOA-LSSVM has the best prediction results with the highest MAPE values for each step ahead of the prediction in this case, which can indicate that WOA-LSSVM has a strong prediction capability. the MAPE values of the WOA-LSSVM model from one to five steps of prediction at lag=5 are: 5.3689%, 5.1288%, 5.2651%, 5.4896%, and 4.7251%, all between 4.7% and 5.5%, and the MAPE can be as low as 4.7% at the best, while the MAPE values of XGBoost, CAT, MLP, RF, and LSTM are between 5.3% and 10.4%, which is nearly double the difference with the proposed model. In addition to this, it is worth noting that the SVR model exhibits an overfitting situation in this case, which can be attributed to the small amount of data and other

circumstances. This can indicate that WOA-LSSVM has superior prediction performance and the whale optimization algorithm can effectively improve the prediction accuracy of the model.

Meanwhile, the trend of the prediction error between WOA-LSSVM and other models can be seen in Fig. 3. It is easy to know from the figure that RF has close prediction accuracy with the proposed model, and all other models have a large gap, and this gap gradually increases with the increase of prediction steps, and the proposed model has strong stability.

The prediction step size and the prediction accuracy of the model are discussed next. It is clear that the prediction error of most of the models tends to increase and then decrease when the prediction step size increases, so it can indicate that the models have better prediction accuracy in multi-step prediction. The prediction errors of MLP and RF are similar to those of WOA-LSSVM in one-step ahead prediction, but the difference gradually increases as the number of prediction steps increases, and the advantages of this model gradually appear.


Fig. 2. Autocorrelation graph (xlabel:LAG, ylabel:autocorrelation)

Table 1. Selected features of the dataset

Data sets	lag=9					
	Data volume	Max	Min	Mean	Std	Var
Training	184	4.89	1.65	3.53	0.55	0.30
Validation	23	4.35	2.96	3.72	0.37	0.13
Testing	24	4.62	2.86	3.78	0.46	0.21

Table 2. MAPE values of prediction results for LSSVM, XGBoost, CAT, MLP, RF, SVR, and LSTM models (%)

| Data sets	Steps model	1-step	2-step	3-step	4-step	5-step
Wind speed	LSSVM	5.3689	5.1288	5.2651	5.4896	4.7251
	XGBoost	7.4695	8.0939	8.2126	9.0654	8.4584
	CAT	6.1300	5.9568	5.3306	6.4032	7.0707
	MLP	8.6904	9.0267	10.1849	10.3625	9.5969
	RF	5.8693	5.9189	6.0458	5.8052	5.6551
	SVR	11.1812	11.1873	11.4399	11.5879	11.0017
	LSTM	7.0277	8.2803	9.1025	9.6001	9.4577

Fig. 3. Prediction error variation of LSSVM, XGBoost, CAT, MLP, RF, SVR, LSTM models (xlabel: Model)

4. CONCLUSION

In order to effectively improve the utilization of wind energy resources, reduce the impact of wind power fluctuations on grid stability, and provide timely and reliable information to relevant departments so as to maximize the economy of wind farms and achieve efficient and stable operatio. This study establishes a hybrid model based on metaheuristic algorithm (WOA) and machine learning model (LSSVM), and predicts wind speed in Mianyang for relevant departments to provide more reliable prediction data to improve the utilization of wind energy and to operate the grid stably.

Based on the analysis of the results in Section 3 of the article, we can obtain the following conclusions: 1) the WOA-LSSVM model has strong prediction performance and prediction accuracy, and can be widely used in the field of wind speed prediction, and also has strong potential in other prediction fields; 2) the WOA algorithm can effectively improve the prediction accuracy of the model, and it can be subsequently combined with other models to improve the performance of the model; 3) in multi-step prediction, the prediction error may decrease as the prediction step length increases, and the proposed WOA-LSSVM has better stability and prediction accuracy in multi-step prediction compared with other comparison models.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1.   Piotrowski, Pawel, et al. "Analysis of forecasted meteorological data (NWP) for efficient spatial forecasting of wind power

generation." Electric Power Systems Research. 2019;175:105891.

2. Lahouar Ali, Ben Hadj Slama J. "Hour-ahead wind power forecast based on random forests." Renewable Energy. 2017;109:529-541.

3. Lazić, Lazar, Goran Pejanović, Momčilo Živković. "Wind forecasts for wind power generation using the Eta model." Renewable Energy. 2010;35(6):1236-1243.

4. Karakuş, Oktay, Ercan E Kuruoğlu, Mustafa A Altınkaya. "One-day ahead wind speed/power prediction based on polynomial autoregressive model." IET Renewable Power Generation. 2017;11 (11):1430-1439.

5. Masseran, Nurulkamal. "Modeling the fluctuations of wind speed data by considering their mean and volatility effects." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 2016;54:777-784.

6. Liu, Hui, Hong-qi Tian, Yan-fei Li. "An EMD-recursive ARIMA method to predict wind speed for railway strong wind warning system." Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics. 2015;141:27-38.

7. Kramer, Oliver, Fabian Gieseke. "Short-term wind energy forecasting using support vector regression." Soft computing models in industrial and environmental applications, 6th International Conference SOCO 2011. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2011.

8. Yuan, Xiaohui, et al. "Short-term wind power prediction based on LSSVM–GSA model." Energy Conversion and Management. 2015;101:393-401.

9. Mabel, M. Carolin, and Eugene Fernandez. "Analysis of wind power generation and prediction using ANN: A case study." Renewable Energy. 2008;33(5):986-992.

10. Lahouar, Ali, and J. Ben Hadj Slama. "Hour-ahead wind power forecast based on random forests." Renewable energy 2017;109:529-541.

11. Jiading, Jiang, et al. "TS_XGB: Ultra-Short-Term Wind Power Forecasting Method Based on Fusion of Time-Spatial Data and XGBoost Algorithm." Procedia Computer Science 2022;199:1103-1111.

12. Zhou, Junyi, Jing Shi, Gong Li. "Fine tuning support vector machines for short-term wind speed forecasting." Energy Conversion and Management. 2011;52(4): 1990-1998.

13. Wei, Danxiang, et al. "Wind speed forecasting system based on gated recurrent units and convolutional spiking neural networks." Applied Energy. 2021;292:116842.

14. Guo, Zhenhai, et al. "Multi-step forecasting for wind speed using a modified EMD-based artificial neural network model." Renewable Energy. 2012;37(1):241-249.

15. Wang, Jianzhou, Shiqi Wang, and Wendong Yang. "A novel non-linear combination system for short-term wind speed forecast." Renewable Energy. 2019;143:1172-1192.

16. Li, Yanfei, et al. "Smart wind speed forecasting approach using various boosting algorithms, big multi-step forecasting strategy." Renewable energy 2019;135:540-553.

17. Jiang, Zheyong, et al. "A CGRU multi-step wind speed forecasting model based on multi-label specific XGBoost feature selection and secondary decomposition." Renewable Energy. 2023;203:802-827.

18. Li, Daoqing, et al. "Wind power prediction based on PSO-Kalman." Energy Reports. 2022;8:958-968.

19. Li, Jiale, et al. "A novel offshore wind farm typhoon wind speed prediction model based on PSO–Bi-LSTM improved by VMD." Energy. 2022;251:123848.

20. Suykens, Johan AK, Joos Vandewalle. "Least squares support vector machine classifiers." Neural Processing Letters. 1999;9:293-300.